

Secret	
	25 <b>X</b> 1

# Some Progress in the Inter-Korean Dialogue

25X1

An Intelligence Assessment

Secret

EA 85-10143 July 1985

Copy 239



25X1



Secret		

Some	<b>Progres</b>	ss in	the
	Korean		

25X1

An Intelligence Assessment

This paper was prepared by

Office of

East Asian Analysis. Comments and queries are

welcome and may be directed to the Chief,

Northeast Asia Division, OEA,

25X1

Secret EA 85-10143 July 1985

Secret

Some	<b>Progress</b>	in	the	_
Inter-	Korean D	ial	ogue	

25X1

25X1

## **Key Judgments**

Information available as of 24 July 1985 was used in this report. North Korea's current effort to engage South Korea in economic, humanitarian, and interparliamentary discussions appears more than a short-lived tactical maneuver. We believe P'yongyang remains intent on attracting the United States into broader security discussions and sees the talks with the South as a step to that end. North Korea also seems to have embarked on the dialogue in a broader effort to improve P'yongyang's political, strategic, and economic options as well.

A variety of factors are sustaining the momentum on both sides:

- P'yongyang's realignment of its position in the Sino-Soviet-North Korean triangle has brought some solid gains, which may have given Kim Il-song greater confidence and energy to pursue dialogue with Seoul.
- South Korea's response also shows a growing confidence on Seoul's part despite the domestic political challenges to President Chun Doo Hwan. Chun may indeed calculate that the dialogue will serve as a rallying point and thereby help disarm his critics at home.

With the Summer Olympics scheduled in Seoul in 1988, South Korea does not want to appear to be the party hindering any peace efforts, which P'yongyang apparently senses is a factor that keeps Seoul at the table.

Given the past failure of dialogues between the North and the South, decisionmakers on both sides are trying to protect their positions and to handle the twists and turns of the dialogue:

- Institutional interests, particularly the military and security forces, are certain to object to the possible harmful effects on vigilance, security, and division of national resources if broader exchanges occur between the two societies.
- P'yongyang probably worries that its dealings with the South may encourage its Communist allies to expand dealings with Seoul. South Korea is concerned about P'yongyang scoring its own gains in the West.

Against this backdrop, we do not anticipate early or significant substantive breakthroughs. As in the early 1970s, the current dialogue could well be prolonged, showing only slow and limited progress.

Se	cret			

25X1

On the other hand, it is possible that momentum in the talks could lead the two Koreas more rapidly to substantive progress. The odds on this outcome would improve significantly if the two sides agree to more than token economic and humanitarian exchanges. Both Kim and Chun have proposed summit discussions. If each senses the timing for a dramatic gesture is right, a summit this year or early next could add that forward push.

25X1

Y

Secret	
	25 <b>X</b> 1

# Some Progress in the Inter-Korean Dialogue

25X1

#### Introduction

The inter-Korean dialogue resumed last year following P'yongyang's provision of flood relief aid to South Korea. We believed North Korea had reinitiated talks with two primary ends in mind:

- North Korea seemed to have concluded that resumed dialogue with Seoul would help it engage the United States in broader security negotiations. Having failed in its efforts to win US acceptance of its tripartite talks proposal, P'yongyang apparently sought to respond to the US call for progress in inter-Korean talks and to project an image of accommodation.
- It probably also sensed a need to take the initiative when Sino-US discussions threatened, in its view, to produce arrangements that could work against North Korean interests.

South Korean officials, particularly President Chun Doo Hwan, viewed North Korea's initiatives with an attitude of defensiveness, borne of the fear that the North might actually score a breakthrough to the United States. (South Korea's defensiveness is in large part responsible for its proposals for cross-recognition, which would bring about recognition by major powers of the two Koreas.) Nonetheless, Seoul—well aware of the need to appear responsive and to seize the public relations initiative—quickly countered with proposals of its own.

25X1

North Korea has, in fact, sustained the dialogue despite several incidents that, in the past, have provided a pretext for breaking contact with Seoul. Such recent events have included the Team Spirit Exercise, the sit-in at the USIS Center in Seoul, and President Chun's subsequent crackdown on dissident students (see table for status of various talks).

25X1

Recent comments by a North Korean diplomat overseas also contribute to our conviction that P'yongyang is in for the long haul. The official asserted that P'yongyang will still suspend sessions during the Team Spirit Exercise held every spring, but his comments suggest North Korea envisages talks with Seoul continuing at least into next year. His remarks

25X1

# Status of Current Inter-Korean Dialogue

Forum	Sessions	Comments
Economic/Trade	15 November 1984 17 May and 20 June 1985 at Panmunjom.	Fourth round set for 18 September. These are official government talks.
Humanitarian (Red Cross)	Preliminary contacts at Panmunjom on 20 November 1984 and during May 1985, with formal meeting in Seoul during 28-29 May 1985.	Working-level contacts at Panmunjom on 15 and 19 July fail to agree on token family exchanges. Next formal meeting set for 27 August in Pyongyang. These are semiofficial contacts.
Parliamentary	North Korea proposed contacts to draft a nonaggression pact on 9 April 1985.	South Korea accepted forum, but prefers discussion on the question of a reunification constitution. First preliminary meeting held on 23 July in Panmunjom; second scheduled for 25 September.
Sports	Three sessions during spring 1984 on issue of fielding joint team for sporting events.	Seoul urging revival, but talks suspended since North Korean boycott of 1984 Summer Olympics.
Summit	Both sides have proposals on table.	Both sides publicly stipulate prior progress at lower levels.

25X1

1

Secret

#### Secret

were made in the context of a broader recitation of P'yongyang's interest in strengthening its ties to the outside world, particularly the West.

#### Behind the Momentum

Events this spring may be building leadership confidence in both Koreas, and account in part for the progress registered so far. In the North, Kim Il-song's efforts to realign the Sino-Soviet-North Korean triangle have registered solid gains:

- For the first time in over a decade, the Soviets have delivered a significant new weapons system—six MIG-23s were transferred in May—which should assuage some of Kim's insecurities over the planned transfer of US F-16 fighters to the South beginning early next year. We anticipate the MIGs will eventually total a full regiment of 40.
- Moscow also has given Kim's domestic agenda a boost by inviting heir apparent Kim Chong-il to visit, and P'yongyang may benefit from increased Soviet economic assistance for North Korea's next seven-year plan.

South Korea's handling of the talks also indicates growing leadership confidence in Seoul, even while President Chun is being more openly challenged on the domestic political front:

- Chun's visit to Washington in late April reassured him on the strength of ties to the United States.
- His desire to chalk up a success in the foreign policy area may be growing despite domestic problems. Chun may calculate the dialogue will produce a "rally round the flag" effect that will help disarm his critics.

Beyond these essentially domestic considerations, we believe that a broader process, focused more on international considerations, is also at work. The comments made by the North Korean official cited above suggest P'yongyang's initiatives toward South Korea should be viewed in the context of a range of North Korean steps to improve its political, strategic, and economic options.

South Korea, mindful that it is hosting the 1986 Asian Games and 1988 Summer Olympics, is loath to take any steps that would suggest publicly it is hindering peace efforts. Consequently, it feels somewhat "trapped" into responding to the North. This was evident in Seoul's participation in the interparliamentary talks that began on 23 July. Seoul, via the National Assembly, took nearly two months to reply to P'yongyang's April call for exchanges. A South Korean Government planning paper prepared in mid-June argued that this forum would allow North Korean exploitation of differences between the opposition and government, but it concluded that there was little hope of avoiding talks.

We believe that North Korea, assessing Seoul's motivations, has concluded that it has a special "window of opportunity" now that will keep the South Koreans talking at almost any cost.

# The Negative Costs

Notwithstanding the inducements to keep talking, there are signs both sides are developing positions to preserve their options in handling the evolving dialogue. For example, media in both P'yongyang and Seoul continue to focus on the other's domestic and foreign policy developments in such a way as to cast doubt on intentions

On both sides, entrenched institutionalized interests—especially the military/security forces—are certain to register concern over the possible harmful effects of broader exchanges between the two societies. We believe that elements of the South Korean leadership fear bilateral exchanges will undercut Seoul's long-standing justification for its authoritarian approach to domestic dissent—the threat from the North. If the dialogue casts P'yongyang in a more benign light, "the threat" will lose its usefulness as a justification for control. The North, meanwhile, has to be wary of

25**X**1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Secret

weakening its control over the populace, a develop-	tion, the building momentum of the talks could lead	
ment that could accompany any large-scale family	the two Koreas into some real substantive progress.	
exchanges with the South.	The odds on this outcome would improve significantly	25X
	should a long series of proposals and counterproposals	
Moreover, North Korea probably senses a very real	lead to a Kim-Chun summit this year or early next.	
danger that its dealings with the South may encour-		25X
age significantly expanded Communist dealings with		
Seoul. Indeed, Soviet Deputy Foreign Minister	To date, the two Koreas have declared their readiness,	25X′
Kapitsa in late June told US officials that progress in	via the Red Cross humanitarian talks, to initiate	20/1
the inter-Korean dialogue might encourage Moscow	exchange visits of separated family members late this	
to broaden contacts with South Korea.	summer the South	25X
	will stack their delegation with security officers, and	
Prospects	we anticipate that P'yongyang will do likewise. Ex-	
For the moment, however, we are more impressed by	changes of cultural troupes could also occur at an	
factors likely to keep the dialogue going. In particular,	early date. Progress has not been claimed in the	
given what we now view as North Korea's broad-	economic talks, where the North has made broad	
based interest in strengthening its external relations	proposals and the South, specific suggestions. We	
on a variety of fronts, we believe that it will overlook	continue to believe, however, that the proposals tabled	
frustrations that a few years ago might have caused it	in this forum—such as the opening of officially	
to abandon the dialogue.	monitored trade—carry fewer political risks than	25X
to abandon the dialogue.	many humanitarian exchange proposals.	_25/
Even so, we do not necessarily anticipate early or	2	5X1
significant substantive breakthroughs. In all probabil-	Specific issues for discussion have not been identified	
ity North and South will behave in months to come in	for the summit, but we believe that the Asian habit of	
a manner similar to that of the 1970s. That is, a	associating such meetings with the mutual granting of	
prolonged dialogue, showing only limited signs of solid	concessions or "presents" would weigh heavily in the	
progress, could ensue. If both Koreas come to focus	calculations of both Seoul and P'yongyang. Substan-	
more on the risks than the opportunities inherent in	tive issues on which progress has not yet been	
the dialogue, we could well see:	achieved but which are relatively noncontroversial,	
the dialogue, we could wen see.	such as trade, might present the best opportunities for	
• Progress diffused among several different dialogue	progress.	25X
tracks, with shifting emphasis on first one and then	progress.	20/
another forum.	Doom for management and community is also served.	
another forum.	Room for maneuver and compromise is also conspicu-	
• Working-level contacts sapping forward momen-	ous in the sports area. Three sessions were held in	
tum. There is almost infinite scope for disagreement	early 1984 on fielding joint teams at major meets.	
over the logistics of various exchanges to say noth-	North Korea abruptly canceled the talks when it	
ing of substantive issues. Both the Red Cross and	withdrew from the Los Angeles Summer Olympics.	
political contacts in the 1970s degenerated into	So far P'yongyang has not responded to Seoul's	
unproductive but lengthy working-level rounds that	subsequent calls to resume these talks. We believe,	25X1
·	however, that North Korea will need to come to grips	
continued several years after formal, substantive meetings ground to a halt in 1973.	with the inevitability of the 1988 Summer Olympics	
meetings ground to a nait in 1973.	being held in Seoul (as well as that of broad participa-	25X1
It remains possible, however, that, while remaining	tion by the Communist Bloc). Pyongyang may well	
poles apart on strategic issues such as peace treaties,	conclude that its best option lies in fielding a joint	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	team and/or pushing to host some events itself.	
nonaggression pacts, multiparty talks, and confedera-		
		25X

### Implications for the United States

The unfolding dialogue presents opportunities for reducing tension on the Korean Peninsula and for probing for meaningful North Korean policy changes. North Korea's policy of dialogue may well invite greater Western attention to P'yongyang, which would sorely test Seoul's own willingness to adopt more creative and risky approaches to the dialogue. Coordinating flexible responses to the process—in Washington, Seoul, and Western capitals—presents challenges to US policymakers.

25X1

Because one of P'yongyang's goals in resuming talks with the South is to engage the United States in broader discussions, North Korea will be looking for positive signs of Washington's acknowledgment of the process. P'yongyang's reaction to President Reagan's speech on 8 July—identifying North Korea as a state associated with terrorism—has been relatively low-key.

25X1

North Korea nonetheless may infer from these remarks that the United States is indifferent to progress in the North-South dialogue. That, in turn, could limit North Korean flexibility. But we believe the growing signs that the North sees broader goals—beyond engaging the United States—served by the dialogue argue for continued effort.

25X1

Secret

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/07/14: CIA-RI	DP86T00590R000300400003-6
Secret	
•	
	ρ i
	<b>U</b>
	•
•	
٠	
	٥
	; 
	<b>b</b>
. •	
•	
•	o
Sagrat	
Secret	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/07/14: CIA-RDP86T00590R000300400003-6